UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,507	05/22/2006	Daniel Korb	VO-746	5659
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			EXAMINER	
			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3637	
				DEL WERV MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
•	10/560,507	KORB, DANIEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/22/2006.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Substitute Specification

The substitute specification filed 12/13/05 has been entered into the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Also for claim 1, "the end section" lacks antecedent basis because the section has not be positively claimed previously. Furthermore, for claim 1, in the preamble only one leg is positively claimed; however, in the body of the claim, plural legs are stated/described. For claim 2, it is unclear whether or not the "two engagement elements" are part of the engagement elements claimed previously in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:

10/560,507 Art Unit: 3637

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 11-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welsch et al (4,444,125) in view of Chirumbolo (3,478,302). Welsch teaches a stand (Fig. 8; please note that tables, chairs and stands are considered functionally equivalent structures in this application) having struts (60), four edged elements (46, 48) with tapered hollow plastic receivers (97), a top shelf (12), and legs (94). The top of the legs include recesses (96) while the receivers include projections (110). For claim 1, Welsch fails to teach helical/spiral segments/recesses of the legs and corresponding projections on the receivers. Chirumbolo teaches a connector arrangement having helical/spiral segments/recesses (36) and corresponding projections (33). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an alternate connection means between the legs and receivers of Welsch, i.e. using the recesses and projections of Chirumbolo, instead of the recesses and projections presently used, since these connection means are functional equivalents and it would appear that either type of connection means would work equally well between the legs and receivers of Welsch. Furthermore, because the recesses of Chirumbolo have projection insertion/removal openings, the legs and receivers would be more easily assembled/disassembled.

For claim 2, Welsch in view of Chirumbolo fails to teach opposing recesses.

Rather Chirumbolo teaches three recesses/projections encircling its respective member.

However, it would have been an obvious design consideration to modify the number of

attachment locations, i.e. using two opposed connections instead of three, depending on the desired need of the person constructing the stand; either configuration being capable of holding the members together.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-10 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/560,507

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wilkens December 18, 2007

> JANET M. WILKENS RIMARY EXAMINER